

Review Criteria for SITC Annual Meeting Abstracts

Abstract reviewers consider a number of variables in rating the abstracts for selection for awards and oral presentations. General considerations include quality of the research and presentation, the strength of the results and methods, and whether the reported research significantly advances the field. Specific variables under these considerations are noted below:

Quality of Research and Presentation of Results

- Overall quality of the research as described in the abstract is high
- Reported results are novel and scientifically important
- Results are presented clearly

Results and Methods

- Abstract includes specific results and data on sample size, endpoints and outcomes, with statistical analysis demonstrating significance of findings
- Abstract includes clear description of reliable methodology and models

Research Advances the Field

- Abstract includes concise description of the implications of the research to advance basic scientific understanding, translation and/or clinical application of cancer immunotherapy

An Annual Meeting abstract may be rejected if the reviewers find it does not meet the scientific rigor or adequately match the topic of the SITC scientific program.