

*ABSTRACT SCORING RUBRIC  
2021 Leadership Summit*

<b>ABSTRACT CONTENT</b>	<b>UNACCEPTABLE (Strongly Disagree) 1</b>	<b>INADEQUATE 2</b>	<b>ADEQUATE 3</b>	<b>FAIR 4</b>	<b>GOOD 5</b>	<b>VERY GOOD 6</b>	<b>EXCELLENT (Strongly Agree) 7</b>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Abstract Title</b></p> <p>Does the title describe the ideas presented in the abstract, arouse the reader's interest, and is adequate in length? (Recommended 150 Characters)</p>							
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Learning Objectives/Outcomes</b></p> <p>Abstract addresses significance of project/innovation with relevance to leadership in nurse anesthesia. Content in abstract aligns with objectives/outcomes of presentation that are well-defined and measurable.</p>							
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Clarity of the Abstract</b></p> <p>Does the abstract provide all the information needed by the committee to make a selection decision? Is it concise and to the point? Does the abstract provide information as to what the presentation will be covering? Is the incorporated literature current (within the last 5 years)?</p>							

*ABSTRACT SCORING RUBRIC*  
*2021 Leadership Summit*

<p><b>Quality and Relevance of the Potential Presentation</b></p> <p>Is the abstract topic related to leadership and nurse anesthesia? Does the author adequately describe a success or challenge encountered? Are suggestions made to implement similar programs? Would there be practice areas that would find this topic of interest?</p>							
<p><b>Creativity, Originality and Scientific Validity</b></p> <p>Is the abstract novel? Is literature/data in the presented in the abstract appropriate and well balanced?</p>							
<p><b>Organization</b></p> <p>Is abstract well planned, complete and coherent? Are essential points of the presentation obvious and evident in the abstract?</p>							

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

*ABSTRACT SCORING RUBRIC  
2021 Leadership Summit*

**Open Response Questions-**

Is the topic worthy of sharing with our members?

Should this abstract be considered for general session (30-60 mins)?

**Guidelines for Rating the AANA Abstract**

Thank you for agreeing to grade abstracts for the 2021 AANA Leadership Summit. Your work in the next few weeks will positively impact the quality of the Leadership Summit. All graders are asked to review each abstract in the requested time frame and asked to populate the attached abstract review submission rubric with constructive feedback and recommendations that you believe may enhance the presenters' presentation. We will build a program based on the graded abstracts and reviewer feedback.

Each abstract is graded on a scale of 1 to 7. You must enter a minimum grade of 1. In order to distinguish between the abstracts that are on the border, it is helpful to have a wide range of scores (i.e. don't grade every abstract a 6 or 7). The numerical range should capture the intensity of your feelings for a given item. Please also leave comments to help in the decision process between abstracts that may be graded the same. The following scoring guidelines will be used when selecting final abstracts:

**34-42 Definitely Accepted; Highest Priority**  
**26-33 Accept/Quality Satisfactory**  
**18-25 Borderline Accept; Lowest Priority**  
**10-7 Not Acceptable; Reject Abstract**