# **2022 NAGC Session Selection Rubric**

Section I: Overall Quality and Alignment with NAGC Mission. The items below are designed to 1) ensure that selected sessions are appropriate to NAGC's mission, and 2) represent quality contributions worthy of presentation on a national stage.

1.	The proposal	is well	organized o	and clearly	written
	THE PLOPOSA	15 11 611	OI GUILLEU U	ma cicari	

Very Poorly Writt	en			Exceptionally well
/Organized				Written/Organized
4	2	2	4	-
1	2	3	4	5

2. The proposal *specifies knowledge, skills, and/or ideas the presenter will impart* to session participant (i.e., includes clear objectives).

No mention of knowledge, skills, and/or ideas		Vague or indirect reference to knowledge, skills and/or ideas		Clear articulation of knowledge, skills, and/or ideas
1	2	3	4	5

3. The proposed session reflects application, practice, or theory related *specifically to gifted children* and youth (as opposed to typically developing or general education students).

No evident connection to gifted children and youth		Vague, indirect, or secondary connection to gifted children and youth		Clear, direct connection to the needs of gifted children and youth
1	2	3	4	5

4. The concepts to be presented represent *high-quality best practice, theory, or evidence* related to gifted children and youth.

No support demonstrating high quality best practice, theory, or evidence		Vague, indirect, or secondary connection to high quality, best practice, theory, or evidence		Clear support that ideas reflect high quality, best practice, theory, or evidence
1	2	3	4	5

5. The proposed session will convey substantial content, related to gifted children and youth, representative of the most informative ideas in the field and include classic or cutting-edge ideas.

No connection between topic and gifted children and youth		Some connection between opic and gifted children and youth		Strong connection between topic and gifted children and youth
1	2	3	4	5

6.	(Network-specific question,	TOTAL	5 P	TNIC	S)
----	-----------------------------	-------	-----	------	----

(five point scale)

# **Required Comments:**

TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_/30

**Network Specific Questions #6** 

Section II: Quality Content Related to One or More NAGC Network. Each NAGC network views the items below from the perspective of its distinct emphasis and current priorities.

#### Arts

6. The proposal presents ideas which will help in the understanding of and/or knowing how to work with artistically gifted and talented individuals.

Will be of little help		Will be of some help		Will be a great deal of help
1	2	3	4	5

### **Computers and Technology**

6. The proposal emphasizes the purposeful integration of technology in order to deepen and enhance the learning process (i.e. active engagement, participation in groups, frequent interaction and feedback, and connection to real-world experts).

No or little purposeful integration of technology	Sc	ome purposeful integration of technology		Strong purposeful integration of technology
1	2	3	4	5

### **Conceptual Foundations**

6. The proposed session will inspire and challenge participants to re-examine and/or move beyond their current thoughts and perspectives about theory; concepts of giftedness; philosophical foundations; trends, issues, and future directions for the field; historical perspectives; and/or perspectives from outside the field.

No or little re-examination of theory or concepts		Some re-examination of theory or concepts		Strong re-examination of theory or concepts
1	2	3	4	5

# Creativity

6. Integration of creativity and high level content (i.e. STEAM, 21st century skills) based on sound pedagogical theory or evidence.

No or little integration of creativity and high level content		Some integration of creativity and high level content		Strong integration of creativity and high level content
1	2	3	4	5

### **Curriculum Studies**

6. The proposed session focuses on curricular and instructional strategies, issues, and/or areas of evidence that are a direct response to the learning needs of gifted learners.

No evident focus on the goals outlined	So	ome focus on the goals outlined		Clear and evident focus on the goals outlined
1	2	3	4	5

# Early Childhood

6. The proposal supports information of early childhood (Preschool- 3<sup>rd</sup> grade) in the areas of identification, assessment, curricula, programs, evidence and parenting.

No evidence that the proposal will provide information related to Early Childhood mission.	Unclear if the Early Childho	proposal supports od mission.	Directly impacts the aboareas and mission within early childhood age spangrade).	n the
1	2	3	4	5

6. The proposal provides compelling evidence that the presented knowledge, practices, professional development, curriculum and/or policies can lead to significant improvements in the lives of gifted LGBTQ+ youth.

No or	No or little evidence		Some evidence	?	Strong evidence
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	3

# **Gifted Coordinators**

6. The proposal promotes collaboration among gifted coordinators and shares resources, ideas, and/or evidence that support the design and implementation of effective and equitable gifted programs and services.

programma anna servicesi				
No or little evidence of		Some evidence of relevant		Strong evidence of
relevant resources, ideas,		resources, ideas, and/or		relevant resources, ideas
and/or evidence that		evidence that support the		and/or evidence that
support the Gifted	support the Gifted Gi			support the Gifted
Coordinator Network		Goals		Coordinator Network
Goals				Goals
1	2	3	4	5

# **Global Awareness**

6. The proposed session contributes relevant ideas and best practices that promote an awareness of Self that allows gifted students to understand global perspectives and global issues to assist them in finding a meaningful way to positively develop and influence our global society.

No or little connection between gifted children and global awareness		Some connection indicating how gifted children can influence a global society and/or become more aware of global issues		Strong connection to encouraging global awareness among gifted children and/or gifted children positively impacting our global society
1	2	3	4	5

#### **Research & Evaluation**

6. Empirical Proposals Only (Scale of 1-10)

Please rate the research design and methodology Please rate the quality of the data analysis (qualitative or quantitative) Please rate the interpretations and conclusions made in light of the findings

#### Non-empirical Proposals Only (Scale of 1-5)

Please rate the applicability of topic to researchers and/or practitioners in the field Please rate the proposed style of presentation for the intended audience

### Parent & Community

6. The proposal contributes relevant ideas, best practices, and resources for parents that address advocacy and awareness, supporting families, diversity (i.e., under-served populations, families of twice-exceptional children, families using alternative schooling), building home/school/community partnerships, or the development of local and state organizations to support gifted education.

No or little evidence of relevant ideas, best practices, and resources for parents		Some evidence of relevant ideas, best practices, and resources for parents		Strong evidence of relevant ideas, best practices, and resources for parents
1	2	3	4	5

#### <u>Professional Development</u>

6. The proposal provides standards-based professional development with content and/or pedagogical strategies that impact the Gifted Education community.

Some evidence of content and/or pedagogical	Strong evidence of content and/or pedagogical
strategies	strategies
3	4 5
	and/or pedagogical

#### Social & Emotional

6. The proposed session provides information and strategies that enable teachers, counselors, and parents to serve the affective, social, and psychological needs of gifted and talented children and youth in a variety of settings.

Not at all	To	some degree		To a great degree
	_		_	_
1	2	3	4	5

#### **Special Populations**

6. The proposal clearly focuses on a special population of gifted children who have been historically underrepresented in advanced programs (e.g., race; ethnicity; twice exceptional; rural; LGBTQ+; etc.).

No or little focus		Some focus	Strong focus	
1	2	3	4	5

# **Special Schools & Programs**

6. This proposal aligns with the Special Schools and Programs mission focusing on alternative, experimental, innovative ideas and practices beyond the scope of traditional public school settings.

No or little connection to the Special Schools and Programs mission		Some connection indicating alignment to the Special Schools and Programs Mission		Strong alignment to the SSP mission
1	2	3	4	5

# <u>STEM</u>

6. Will this information be practical, engaging, and based on best practices for STEM stakeholders?

No evidence that the proposer(s) considered practicality, engagement, or best practice when developing the proposal		Evidence that the proposer(s) considered practicality, engagement, or best practice when developing the proposal		Evidence that the proposer(s) considered practicality, engagement, and best practice when developing the proposal
1	2	3	4	5