

2027 Academy Annual Meeting & Scientific Symposium

Organized Session Scoring Rubric

Rate the RELEVANCE of the Organized Session using the following rubric:
[Scale 1-5]

Item (Criteria)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
Relevance	The proposed session topic has no relevance in prosthetics and orthotics.	The proposed session topic has limited relevance currently in prosthetics and orthotics.	The proposed session topic is relevant but of low interest currently in prosthetics and orthotics.	The proposed session topic is relevant and of good interest currently in prosthetics and orthotics.	The proposed session topic is novel, directly relevant and of high interest currently in prosthetics and orthotics.

Rate the FORMAT of the proposed session using the following rubric:
[Scale 1-5]

Item (Criteria)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
Format	The format is inappropriate.	The format is poorly described and/or more appropriate formats should have been considered.	The format is reasonably well described. The proposed format does not include techniques to engage participants.	The format is appropriate and described in reasonable detail. The proposed format makes some use of techniques that will engage participants.	The format is clear, comprehensive, and well described. The proposed format makes great use of techniques to engage participants.

Rate the SPEAKER QUALITY for the proposed session per the following rubric:
[Scale 1-5]

Item (Criteria)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
Speaker Quality	The proposed speaker quality is poor. Not all proposed speakers have yet been identified and those that have are not perceived as poised and engaging presenters.	The proposed speaker quality is fair. Not all proposed speakers have yet been identified.	The proposed speaker quality is good. Proposed speakers have sufficient expertise or knowledge on the topic.	The proposed speaker quality is very good. Proposed speakers have the necessary expertise or knowledge on the topic they represent, and they will be poised and engaging presenters.	The proposed speaker quality is excellent. Proposed speakers have the necessary expertise or knowledge on the topic, they represent disciplines as appropriate to the topic, and they will be poised and engaging presenters.

Rate the EVIDENCE QUALITY for the proposed session per the following rubric:
[Scale 1-5]

Item (Criteria)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
Evidence Quality	The proposed evidence quality is poor. No relevant evidence was cited in the submission.	The evidence quality is fair. The proposed session topic is supported by a limited quantity of evidence, and the available evidence is not of high quality.	The evidence quality is good. The proposed session topic supporting evidence is either of insufficient quantity OR the evidence provided does not appear to be of high quality.	The evidence quality is very good. The proposed session topic and learning objectives support an appropriate number of quality citations given the existing body of literature.	The evidence quality is excellent. The proposed session topic and learning objectives are supported by an appropriate number of high-quality citations given by the existing body of literature.

Rate the OVERALL QUALITY for the proposed session per the following rubric:
[Scale 1-5]

Item (Criteria)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
Overall Quality	Overall, the proposed session is underdeveloped and provides a poor impression of the presentation. The proposed session presents insufficient information for review.	Overall, the proposed session needs additional development that may be challenging to accomplish and provides a fair impression of the presentation.	Overall, the proposed session needs additional development that can be easily accomplished and provides a good impression of the presentation.	Overall, the proposed session is reasonably well- developed and provides a very good impression of the presentation.	Overall, the proposed session is well-developed and provides an excellent impression of the presentation.

1. Are there any commercial biases concerning this session proposal?
2. If yes, please explain your concerns with commercial bias.
3. Please rate your level of recommendation for the proposed session from Strongly Disagree, up to Strongly Agree.

   - Strongly Agree (4)
   - Agree (3)
   - Neutral (2)
   - Disagree (1)
   - Strongly Disagree (0)

4. Please provide any additional comments on the proposed session (150 words maximum).

Updated: 03/16/2026